Galileo 03 Ltd.

Mynydd Ty-talwyn Energy Park - Environmental Statement Volume III

Appendix 4.5: Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix

Project Reference: 663870

NOVEMBER 2025

Wind Power Aviation Consultants Ltd

Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Mynydd Ty-talwyn Energy Park V3.3

Our Reference: WPAC 016/25
Date 05/11/25
Your Reference: Mynydd Ty-talwyn EIA

Authors: Commander John Taylor, RN (Ret)

Squadron Leader Mike Hale MBE MSc CFS RAF (Ret)

Light Modelling, GIS and Mapping – Sam Taylor BA (Hons) MSc

Wind Power Aviation Consultants Ltd, Company No.: 6811887
e-mail: enquiries@wpac.co.uk Tel.: +44 (0)2380767345 Mob.: +44 (0)7949234440
www.wpac.co.uk

Reference Documents

A. Civil Aviation Publication (CAP) 764 Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) Policy and Guidance on Wind Turbines Version 6, Feb 2016
B. CAP 764 Version 7 (Draft) issued for comment in June 2020
C. CAP 764 Version 7 (Draft) issued for comment in April 2024
D. Air Navigation Order (ANO) Article 222
E. CAA Policy Statement: Lighting of Onshore Wind Turbine Generators in the United Kingdom with a maximum blade tip height at or in excess of 150m Above Ground Level dated 01/06/17
F. International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) Annex 14 Vol 1 Chapter 6
G. MOD Obstruction Lighting Guidance Document 01 Jan 2020
H. Landscape Institute Guidance on Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Version 3

Scope

1. This report has been prepared by WPAC Ltd on behalf of Galileo 03 Ltd (part of Galileo Empower Uk Ltd (hereinafter referred to as 'Galileo' or the 'Applicant'. The report is divided into two parts. Part 1 proposes a lighting design that is compliant with existing and draft (but soon to be ratified) regulations and guidance contained within References A to G as discussed with the CAA and the MOD. It explains the rationale behind the lighting design taking into account the requirement to minimise the number of turbines illuminated with aviation obstruction lights whilst maintaining flight safety and provides a detailed assessment of the brilliance of the lighting when viewed from a number of viewpoints provided by the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) consultant after consultation with the relevant stakeholders including the Local Planning Authority. Part 2 of the report identifies and explains those mitigation measures that can be utilised to minimise the environmental effect of the lights including an assessment of the historical meteorological data from which to predict the luminous intensity requirements for the lights. The entire report can be considered to fulfil the requirements for an Aviation Lighting Landscape and Visual Impact Mitigation Plan and can be used to inform the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment as recommended in the scoping direction and in the guidance laid down in the Landscape Institutes Guidelines for Visual Impact Assessment Version 3 (GLVIA3).

Part 1 Turbine Lighting Layout Design

Introduction

2. WPAC have designed a large number of CAA and MOD compliant lighting layouts for wind farms and are also in constant dialogue with the CAA regarding the proposed changes to CAP 764 in terms of aviation lighting requirements. Whilst Reference A is technically the current publication for policy and guidance on this issue, Reference B was released for comment and is already being used by the CAA as the current de facto policy. Reference C is also currently out for consultation with all aviation stakeholders but the proposed release date has passed and the CAA have yet to publish a new release date. Discussions with the CAA have clarified that the draft regulations at Reference B will not

be changing in terms of the overarching policy but the wording may be slightly amended in the interests of clarity.

Lighting Layout Starting Point and Assumptions

3. The applicant is proposing a new energy park located to the north of Bridgend, south Wales. The Energy Park comprises the construction, operation and subsequent decommissioning of six wind turbines, solar array, one project substation, ancillary infrastructure works (the 'Proposed Development') has proposed an energy park located near Bridgend, 10km to the southeast of Port Talbot. The Proposed Development sits on a 578 ha area covering two hills, Mynydd Ty-talwyn and Mynydd Baedan and straddles the Nant Craig yr Aber Woods.

4. The Proposed Development is within MOD Low Flying Area (LFA) 7. At night this area converts to Night Allocated Region (NRR) 7S an area primarily reserved for low flying helicopters in the hours of darkness. MTT is located in an area where military fast jet and tactical transport aircraft are limited by flow-control measures that limit both their routing and altitude. This restricts the utility of this airspace and therefore the presence of such fixed-wing aircraft.

5. Conversely, the hilly and built-up nature of the Welsh Valleys dictates that this is an active helicopter operating region. Emergency Helicopters operating in the area most nights will include: Police, Ambulance, Coast Guard, HEMS and various Military formations down to 30ft (9m) on occasion. Due to the density of MOD and Civilian helicopters operating in the airspace, it will require a comprehensive obstruction lighting arrangement that includes both visible and infra-red obstruction lights.

Lighting Assessment Overview

- From a CAA perspective, the Proposed Development is sited outside the Cardiff Airport Control Zone (CTZ) and Control Area (CTA) so will be assessed against visible lighting in accordance with Class G 'en-route' airspace requirements as detailed in the latest (still draft) CAP 764 advice.

- To accommodate MOD requirements, and other lower airspace night operators, the site will be assessed for Night Vision Equipment compatible lighting in accordance with MOD published obstruction lighting specifications (AL4).

- Where possible, the recommended final lighting configuration will be optimised to reduce light impact on the local area.

- Expected CAA and MOD dispensations will be assessed for the site.

- Local airspace constraints will be considered for their potential impact on the site.

- The Mynydd Ty-talwyn Energy Park proposal includes 6 turbines at 200m to tip.



Figure 1 Mynydd Ty-talwyn on an aviation chart



Figure 2 Mynydd Ty-talwyn Wind Farm

CAA-ANO Red 2000/200cd Lighting (In compliance with CAA CAP 764 - Draft)

6. In accordance with the CAP 764 (draft) conditions, the CAA requires:

- That all perimeter turbines be lit unless removing a light will leave a gap of less than 900m total between the remaining lit turbines.
- That any turbine within 200m of a 'string perimeter' be lit unless the distance between adjacent turbines is less than 900m total.
- Note: additional spacing dispensation is sometimes available for the above two criteria.
- That any unlit turbine does not exceed a 10° up-slope from adjacent lit turbines.
- No turbine shall be more than 1800m (1NM) from a lit turbine.

7. Applying these criteria dictates that 5 turbines of the MTT site will require ANO visible red lighting.

Turbines with ANO 2000/200cd Lights: T1, T2, T3, T5 and T6.



Figure 3 Lighting Layout without CAA Dispensations

CAA-ANO Red 2000/200cd Reduced Lighting Proposal

8. The military have operated at low level at night for many decades now using night vision equipment. In more recent times, the last decade or so, more civilian operators have moved to night low level using suitable night vision equipment: night vision goggles (NVGs) etc. Such civilian operators include Coast Guard (CSAR), Police, Helicopter Emergency Medical Services (HEMS) and Air Ambulance.

9. Although, in the past, some night operators would fly at night at low level without night equipment (on carefully pre-planned exercises pre-flown by day) such events have been overtaken by the ever-widening use of night vision equipment. As a result, operators who now night fly, without night vision equipment, will fly at or above 'safety altitude' when not under the guidance of Air Traffic Control.

10. Aircraft operating at safety-altitude or above, and depending upon the protocol adopted or phase of flight, the safety-altitude used will be 1000ft (300m), 1500ft (450m) or 2000ft (600m) above the local terrain/highest obstacle, this includes the turbine tip heights. Aircraft/helicopters flying as such, will only need enough visible lights to define the wind farm and its size/shape/perimeter.

11. Accordingly, aircraft flying above the MTT turbines, at safety altitude or above, and/or under ATC control, will only require an outline of the MTT site. Such a requirement could be met with three visible red lights on turbines **T1, T5, and T6**.



Figure 4 CAA-ANO Lighting Arrangement (including CAA dispensations).

MOD Lighting Requirements

12. Early detection is important especially if the aircraft is manoeuvring hard and the air temperature profile causes the turbines to blend into the background. Suitable lighting is necessary for flight safety.

13. MOD IR lights have been developed to be invisible to the public at large but very detectable to aircrew night vision aids. As such the MOD IR lights can have a wide beam width and flash continuously without disturbing the visible environment.



Figure 5 Wind turbine in context with MOD Low Flying

MOD Infra-Red Lighting Layout

14. The MOD requires:

- That all 'compound-perimeter' turbines (see Figure 6 blue dotted line) be lit unless removing a light will leave a gap of less than 500m between the remaining perimeter lit turbines.
- That any dominant turbine, by location or height, be lit. Note: here, all turbines are lit.
- MTT does not meet the MOD small site criteria, its east-west footprint is a little too large. Accordingly, central turbines will require lighting, in this case T4. Moreover, T4 can also be considered a compound-perimeter turbine, see Figure 6, so must be lit.

15. Applying these criteria dictates that all 6 turbines of the MTT site will require IR lighting.

Turbines with IR Lighting: T1, T2, T3, T4, T5 and T6



Figure 6 Proposed MOD Infra-Red Lighting Arrangement

Combined CAA Visible Lighting and MOD Infra-Red Lighting

Mynydd Ty-talwyn Turbine Data Table							
Turbine	Easting	Northing	Hub Ht	Tip Ht	Disc Dia	CAA-ANO	MOD-IR
1	286968	186094	119m	200m	162m	2000/200cd	600mW/sr
2	286459	186354	119m	200m	162m		600mW/sr
3	285774	186016	119m	200m	162m		600mW/sr
4	285686	186503	119m	200m	162m		600mW/sr
5	285732	186989	119m	200m	162m	2000/200cd	600mW/sr
6	284660	186283	119m	200m	162m	2000/200cd	600mW/sr

Table 1 CAA and MOD Lighting Arrangement

Table Note: The proposed turbine locations are consistent with the locations proposed and consulted on during the Pre-Application Consultation period (between April 2025 and June 2025), with the exception of a small change to the location of T06. Any differences in the proposed coordinates of the other turbines is associated with rounding.



Figure 7 CAA-ANO Visible Red and MOD Infra-Red Lighting Arrangement

Lighting Specifications and Positioning.

16. The CAA, in accordance with ICAO requirements, will require:

- A 2000cd red light on the turbine hub.
- Three 32cd red lights half way up the turbine mast.

17. The MOD will require:

- An Infra-Red light on the turbine hub (it is possible to combine these with the ICAO Visible Red requirement into one light).

ANO Light Specifications

18. The ANO 2000/200cd Lights will conform to the ICAO specification as set out in Annex 14 Table 6-3 as replicated at Table 2 below. The lights will also be controlled such that when the met visibility is greater than 5km in all directions from all turbine hubs, the lights will be reduced to 200cd (10% of normal power). This reduction in power will not apply to MOD IR Lights.

ICAO Annex 14 Table 6-3 (excerpt)

Benchmark intensity	Minimum requirements					Recommendations				
	Vertical elevation angle (b)			Vertical beam spread (c)		Vertical elevation angle (b)			Vertical beam spread (c)	
	0°		-1°			0°	-1°	-10°		
	Minimum average intensity (a)	Minimum intensity (a)	Minimum intensity (a)	Minimum beam spread	Intensity (a)	Maximum intensity (a)	Maximum intensity (a)	Maximum intensity (a)	Maximum beam spread	Intensity (a)
2000	2000	1500	750	3°	750	2500	1125	75	N/A	N/A

a) 360° horizontal. All intensities are expressed in Candela. For flashing lights, the intensity is read into effective intensity, as determined in accordance with the Aerodrome Design Manual (Doc 9157), Part 4.
b) Elevation vertical angles are referenced to the horizontal when the light unit is levelled.
c) Beam spread is defined as the angle between the horizontal plane and the directions for which the intensity exceeds that mentioned in the "intensity" column.

Table 2 ICAO Annex 14 Table 6-3 Medium Intensity Lighting Specifications.

19. **<u>Low Intensity Mid Mast Lights</u>** – Mid mast lighting was originally intended to give an attitude/range reference (horizon indication) to pilots flying at night in the days before NVGs. Hub and mid mast lights will give a vertical reference (from which a horizontal reference can be gauged) when fitted to a single vertical structure. In contrast, a single light will not be able to give a vertical or horizontal reference or indication of range and range-rate. However, a series of single hub lights, on a group of structures, will provide a good horizon reference together with range and range-rate clues. Accordingly, the requirement for mid-masts lights is much diminished if not made redundant in the case of multiple vertical structures such as wind farms.

20. All of the current commercially available 32cd (supposedly focused) lights are over-engineered (up to 70cd between -30deg and +40deg to fit a multitude of aviation and marine applications) they induce a disproportionately large environmental impact, often significantly more than the focused hub 2000/200cd lights. WPAC proposed that the CAA requirement for 32cd (Type B) mid mast lights be removed for Mynydd Ty-talwyn. The CAA considered the proposal and responded with an aviation lighting reduction concession approval letter confirming that 32d lights are not required in this location. The letter attached at Appendix C to this report.

Table 6-2. Light distribution for low-intensity obstacle lights

	Minimum intensity (a)	Maximum intensity (a)	Vertical beam spread (f)	
			Minimum beam spread	Intensity
Type A	10 cd (b)	N/A	10°	5 cd
Type B	32 cd (b)	N/A	10°	16 cd
Type C	40 cd (b)	400 cd	12° (d)	20 cd
Type D	200 cd (c)	400 cd	N/A (e)	N/A

Note.— This table does not include recommended horizontal beam spreads. 6.2.1.3 requires 360° coverage around an obstacle. Therefore, the number of lights needed to meet this requirement will depend on the horizontal beam spreads of each light as well as the shape of the obstacle. Thus, with narrower beam spreads, more lights will be required.

Table 3 ICAO Annex 14 Table 6-2 Low Intensity Obstacle Lights.

IR Light Specifications

21. The IR lights will conform to the MOD specification as set out in MOD Lighting Guidance and shown below in Table 4.

MOD Specification IR.

IR wavelength – 750 to 900nm.
But ideally concentrated within 800 to 850nm for optimum detection by all military NVG types.

IR intensity – 600mW/sr minimum at peak flash but not above 1200mW/sr.
(Note: Typically a 300mW/sr steady burn LED IR light will generate 600mW/sr at peak flash)
This will generate a 7-8 nm NVG pick-up range - remaining above 5nm as the light ages.

Horizontal Pattern – unrestricted 360 deg.

Vertical Pattern – Minimum flash intensity of 600 mW/sr between +30 deg and -15 deg elevation.
– up to 50% reduction between +25 to +30 deg and -10 to -15 deg is acceptable.
– Maximum intensity of 1200 mW/sr for all angles of elevation.
– Vertical overspill is acceptable.

Flash Pattern – 60 flashes per min at 100-500 ms duration (ideally 250ms)

Synchronisation – all lights to be visually synchronised across a wind farm site

Table 4 MOD Specification for IR Obstacle Lights

Timings

22. The lights (IR and ANO) will be switched on between Evening Civil Twilight and Morning Civil Twilight in accordance with the UK Almanac; approximately 11 hours per day when averaged over the year.

Assessment of Aviation Lighting and Potential Mitigation Measures Designed into the Lights

23. Having defined a layout of turbines to be fitted with visible lighting, an assessment has been undertaken to calculate the brilliance of the lights when seen from a number of viewpoints. The standard aviation lights to be fitted to the nacelle of the turbines are required to fulfil certain design criteria in terms of brilliance and coverage as per Table 2. They are designated 'medium intensity obstruction lights' and have a **minimum** luminous intensity of 2000 candela[1] at horizontal and slightly above. The LED lights are also required to be able to shine a beam that reduces in intensity above and below the horizontal. One manufacturer of such obstruction lights, CEL, have tested their light, the CEL-WT-MIC[2] in a calibration chamber and produced results showing precisely how much the beam

[1] Candela is the SI Unit of luminous intensity and refers to the amount of light emitted in a particular direction.
[2] CEL-WT-MIC - rev1.pdf

reduces in brilliance at any specified elevation angle. The results are interpolated to every 0.1°. This light is a finely tuned upgrade to the previous version and has been developed specifically for onshore wind turbine lighting in order to minimise downward light intensity and is now available from the manufacturer.

24. Figure 8 demonstrates the reduction in luminous intensity below the horizontal and also above 1° in elevation. The various coloured lines are the candela measured from different angles in the horizontal in order to measure the performance all around the light.



Figure 8 (MI GAM CEL-WT-MIC Light Measurement Results)

25. WPAC have utilised their propagation modelling system (Rview) to calculate the precise angle of elevation between the turbine light and a viewpoint assuming a height of eye of 1.5 metres and a turbine hub height of 119 metres. The system utilises a standard atmospheric model and an earth model that uses actual earth curvature between the turbine light and the viewpoint. Ordnance Survey OS50 DTM is used as the terrain model. The calculations have been undertaken for each designated lit turbine against all designated Mynydd Ty-talwyn Energy Park viewpoints. The locations of the viewpoints are shown in Figure 9 and Table 5.



Figure 9 Viewpoint Locations

26. The next stage in the process is to take the candela figures radiated towards a viewpoint and taking into account the distance, calculate the lumens per square metre (also known as Lux) that will be experienced by the human eye at the viewpoint. The figure produced is in micro-lumens per square metre or lumen$^{(10-6)}$/m^2) or lux$^{(10-6)}$. These are perfect clear-air figures and therefore worst-case results from an LVIA perspective. Figures obtained by this method enable comparisons to be made with commonly understood light sources such as stars or planets. In practice the light intensity at the observation points will be further attenuated by scatter and absorption by airborne dust, droplets and aerosols in the atmosphere. This attenuation is typically in the order of 10 to 20% but can be as high as 75% at the more distant observation ranges.

27. The results for every lit turbine from all of the viewpoints are shown in the results tables in Appendix A to this report. Viewpoints where lights are obstructed by terrain are shaded in green, when the viewpoint is too close to a turbine to get an accurate assessment it is shaded red. **To take into account any limitations within the terrain model we have highlighted in purple any results where the line of sight is under 10 metres above ground level but above 1.5 metres and should therefore, still be screened by terrain but may be visible within the vicinity of the viewpoint**.

Viewpoint Number	Viewpoint Name	Position
1	Margam Park (Access Road)	SS 80106 85792
2	On PRoW, Margam Park Deer Park / Ogwr Ridgeway Long Distance Walk	SS 81388 86774
3	Ogwr Ridgeway Walk	SS 83628 88024
4	Llangynwyd Conservation Area	SS 85917 88806
5	Heol Gelli Lenor, Maesteg	SS 84760 87340
6	Heol Dewi Sant, Bettws	SS 89915 82879
7	Footpath East of Coytrahen House	SS 89096 85474
8	Bridgend Circular Walk	SS 91190 82876
9	Heol Shon, Cefn Cribwr	SS 85174 81040
10	Kenfig Burrows	SS 79808 81111
11	Wales Coast Path at Ogmore-by-Sea	SS 86114 75589
12	Ogwr Ridgeway Walk at Waun Wen	SS 94454 85934
13	Pen y Foel Trig Point	SS 91896 89548
14	Mumbles Hill, Swansea	SS 62776 87440
15	Ogof-Ffynnon-Ddu (BBNP)	SN 87459 16026

Table 5 Viewpoints

Interpreting the Results

28. The results show that there is a significant decrease in the luminous intensity (candela) of the light emanating towards those viewpoints which are at lower angles of elevation in relation to the turbine hub. However, when considering the perception of the light from a viewpoint in general, the distance between the light and the viewpoint is likely to be the dominant factor and the resultant figure in micro-lux is the most relevant figure to consider. This report provides the results and anticipates that the LVIA consultants will be able to put them into the correct context for visualisations in terms of background environmental lighting and atmospheric conditions. Table 6 shows the turbine with the greatest potential perceived luminous intensity expressed in micro-lumens per m^2 (Lux $^{(10\text{-}6)}$) at each viewpoint.

Viewpoint	Turbine	Distance (KM)	Microlumens per m2 (lux10-6)	Microlumens at 10%	Obscured
1	6	4.6	5.5	0.6	
2	6	3.29	16.2	1.6	M
3	5	2.23	263.8	26.4	
4	1	2.94	40.6	4.1	
5	1	4.75	25.9	2.6	
6	1	3.2	20.1	2.0	
7	1	2.22	20.3	2.0	X
8	1	5.31	11.5	1.2	
9	1	3.77	14.5	1.5	
10	1	8.72	5.4	0.5	
11	6	10.79	3.8	0.4	
12	1	7.49	30.3	3.0	
13	1	6.02	58.9	5.9	
14	6	21.93	2.5	0.3	
15	5	29.09	2.5	0.3	X

Table 6 Brightest Turbine Hub Light from each Viewpoint (measured in micro-lumens)

29. In order to place the values in microlumens per m^2 (lux^{10-6}) in context, Table 7 provides some examples of approximate values placed on a number of environmental comparators, however these are just an illustration to place the results in a real world environment. The actual perceived brightness will depend upon a number of factors including bulb manufacturer, bulb type, specific construction (single/multiple colour LEDs etc) atmospheric conditions, absorption spectrum, individual eye characteristics and capabilities.

Comparison Object	Approximate Illuminance (micro-lumens per m²)
Car Halogen main beam approaching 1km	Up to 1,000,000 (can vary significantly between cars)
International Space Station (400km up)	1000 (depends upon relative position of sun)
Car Brake Light at 0.5km	400
Car Brake Light at 0.7km	200
Car Brake Light at 1.0km	100
Car Brake Light at 2.0km	25
Car Brake Light at 5.0km	4
Car Brake Light at 10km	1
Front Cycle Light at 0.5km	140 (Modern high power white LED)
Front Cycle Light at 0.7km	70
Front Cycle Light at 1.0km	35
Front Cycle Light at 2km	9
Front Cycle Light at 5km	2
White LED Street Light at 0.5km	500 (Viewed from the horizontal)
White LED Street Light at 0.7km	250
White LED Street Light at 1.0km	120
White LED Street Light at 2.0km	30
White LED Street Light at 5.0km	8
Sodium Street Light at 0.5km	300 (Viewed from the horizontal)
Sodium Street Light at 0.7km	150
Sodium Street Light at 1.0km	75
Sodium Street Light at 2.0km	20
Sodium Street Light at 5.0km	5
Brightest Star in the Sky (Sirius)	13
Airliner flying at 30,000ft)	Nav Lights 0.4 to 5; anti-collision lights 2 to 20
Typical bright star (e.g. Orion)	0.5 to 2.0
Faintest light visible from street lit area	0.4
Visible limit for fully dark-adapted eyes	0.02

Table 7 Comparisons of approximate micro-lumens values

30. If there is a requirement to consider the brightest turbine in terms of emitted candela rather than micro-lumens, Table 8 provides data on which turbine emits the most candela towards each viewpoint but takes no account of the distance between light and viewpoint.

Viewpoint	Turbine	Distance (KM)	Candela	Candela at 10%	Obscured
1	6	4.6	117	12	
2	6	3.29	176	18	M
3	1	3.72	1867	187	
4	1	2.94	350	35	
5	1	4.75	584	58	
6	6	5.34	324	32	
7	6	4.49	284	28	X
8	5	6.83	448	45	
9	5	4.25	232	23	
10	1	8.72	409	41	
11	6	10.79	448	45	
12	1	7.49	1697	170	
13	1	6.02	2132	213	
14	1	24.23	1314	131	
15	5	29.09	2142	214	X

Table 8 Brightest Turbine Hub Light measured in Candela emitted towards a viewpoint

Part 2 Mitigation

Intensity Reduction (ANO Lighting: 2000cd down to 200cd)

31. The lights (IR and visible red lights) will be switched on between Evening Civil Twilight and Morning Civil Twilight in accordance with the UK Almanac; approximately 11 hours per day averaged over the year.

32. The primary mitigation consideration in addition to the already described reduction in brilliance due to elevation angle, is taken from Reference D which states:

> '*If the horizontal meteorological visibility in all directions from every wind turbine generator in a group is more than 5 km, the intensity for the light positioned as close as practicable to the top of the fixed structure required to be fitted to any generator in the windfarm and displayed may be reduced to not less than 10% of the minimum peak intensity specified for a light of this type*'.

33. It is therefore possible to take advantage of the CAA SARG Policy Statement dated 01/06/2017 and incorporate the option to reduce the hub height lighting to not less than 10% of the minimum peak intensity specified for the installation in good weather. In essence, reducing the 2000cd obstruction lights to 200cd in meteorological visibilities greater than 5km. Note: This concession is not applicable to MOD specification IR lighting, which is covered separately.

34. It will be necessary to calculate how much time the lights would spend at 2000cd and at 200cd. To assess historical visibility in this South Wales region, the closest meteorological station to MTT is at Cardiff Airport. Note: there are no meteorological stations that provide historical data closer to MTT. However, although the visibility will not be identical at these two locations it will be in the same air-mass for the majority of the time and will give similar observations.

35. Below is a Met Office table of visibilities throughout the year for Cardiff Airport which are averaged over a 30-year period.



Table 9 Cardiff Visibility

36. The Met Office table shows that the visibility is below 5km (light blue bar) for an average of 12% of the time at Cardiff Airport. This suggests that the lights at MTT will be set at:

<u>2000cd for 12% of the time (5k-) and set at 200cd for 88% of the time (5k+)</u>.

37. In addition, visibility improves with height since the concentration of particles (dust, haze) and liquid droplets (water) reduces with height and the air also becomes thinner. It could be argued that the visibility at the Proposed Development, on a 250m hill, will be better than that at Cardiff.

Obstruction Light Weather Obscuration.

38. On occasion, the visibility in the area of Mynydd Ty-talwyn will reduce significantly due to the presence of cloud on the hills. If the Mynydd Ty-talwyn turbines are in cloud, then the obstruction lights will not be seen. The turbines at the Proposed Development will carry the CAA/ANO lights on the turbine hub. The average height of the turbine hubs (where the lights are mounted) will be around 220-250m above mean sea level (amsl). (Note: turbine hub heights and terrain altitudes vary across the site). For aeronautical reasons, cloud bases are quoted in feet (ft) so measurements will now be given in ft. Converting 220 to 270m gives 720 to 820ft amsl.

39. It is now possible to compare the average **turbine hub/light** heights of **720-820ft** amsl with the actual cloud bases recorded by the Met Office at Cardiff Airport, again, over a 30-year period as shown in **Table 10**. The burgundy bars show when the cloud-base first drops below 1000ft and therefore below the turbine hub heights.



Table 10 Cardiff Cloudbase

40. The burgundy columns (600-1000ft), indicate that the cloud base will be around the turbine visible-red light heights on around 1000 occasions a month. This will reduce the intensity or obscure the lights to the general public. The other columns indicate (combined) that on a further 1000 or more occasions a month the lights will be completely obscured by cloud. Note: Met Office statistics report cloud base in occurrences as opposed to total duration.

41. Again, whilst Cardiff Airport is not MTT, Met Office statistics show that the cloud-base reduces in the region of hills. It could be argued that at MTT, located on a 250m hill, the cloud-base would be lower than at Cardiff thus providing even greater degree of light obscuration than calculated here.

Weather Obscuration Conclusion

42. It is most important not to try and combine the two different observations, visibility and cloud-base, into a single statement. Informal advice direct from Met Office and Airport forecasters indicates that the information for the Proposed Development should be presented as follows:

> *Meteorological observations suggest that the turbine hubs will be obscured on more than a thousand occasions a month by cloud.* *(Obstruction lights not visible to the public)*

> *When not obscured by cloud, the visibility in the area of the turbines can be expected to exceed 5km for up to 88% of the time.* *(Obstruction lights switched down to 200cd)*

Conclusion/Notes

43. The purpose of this Lighting Report is to identify an obstruction lighting arrangement that will have the minimum effect upon the environment but at the same time is a safe design for night low level operators. This has been accomplished by using a combination of CAA ANO and MOD IR lights and is assessed further in the LVIA Chapter.

44. Applying the CAP 764 draft criteria for visible red obstruction lighting results in a lighting solution that requires five turbines to carry a visible red ANO light. By applying current CAA dispensations, and then matching the lighting to the operators that will require night visible lighting, minimal but aviation safe layout was achieved. This results in a total of:

3 x ANO Red 2000/200cd lights and 6 x MOD IR 600mW/sr lights.

45. Furthermore, the site is in an area where it will benefit from 90% light-dimming in good-weather and obscuration of both turbines and the visible ANO lighting in poor-weather. This lighting layout was sent to the CAA and they responded approving the proposed lighting arrangement and the approval letter is attached at Appendix C..

The lights will be regularly obscured by cloud and when not obscured set at the lower 200cd for approximately 88% of the time.

46. The CAA currently state: *met visibility should be measured at suitable points around the wind farm*. In the case of the Proposed Development: Visibility Meters on **T1, T5 and T6** will meet this criterion.

Technical Mitigation

47. One other form of potential mitigation commonly discussed is the installation of an Aircraft Detection Lighting System (ADLS). There are two possible methods of detecting an aircraft approaching a wind farm that will automatically turn on the aviation obstruction lights, firstly through the use of a suitable primary surveillance radar (PSR) or secondly, the use of aircraft installed Electronic Conspicuity (EC) equipment with a suitable receiver at the wind farm. There are some significant technical and regulatory issues to be overcome before any such system can be installed and operated in the UK.

48. In the case of PSR, this is already in use at wind farms in Europe; as an example the Terma Scanter 5002 radar is installed at a number of sites as shown in Figure 10. The main regulatory constraint is that although such systems are in use in Europe, in the UK, where airspace tends to be shared to a much greater extent between users, the CAA have yet to mandate the performance parameters that such a system must be capable of fulfilling. For example, the coverage requirement will need to be defined in terms of maximum range of detection and activation (which may vary depending upon the speed of the aircraft), base of cover (above ground level) and almost certainly a maximum height coverage to avoid unnecessary activations, which a PSR on its own cannot ascertain. An initial set of draft requirements was promulgated in 2018 but these were for discussion with aviation stakeholders and the wind industry and it cannot be assumed that these are going to be the final criteria. Even if the standards are defined, it may be that any single radar will not be capable of delivering the required coverage where, for example, a wind farm is located on a hill and aircraft may approach below the wind farm from any direction. It may then become necessary to install multiple radars in order to achieve the required coverage at low level. This in itself may lead to limitations due to mutual interference in what is already a crowded part of the electro-magnetic spectrum, (although the Terma radar does have some anti-interference capabilities) but the additional radars may affect other systems working in the same frequency band. There would also be additional planning issues to consider, such as the visual impact of additional aerials, and rotating arrays. Technical constraints also mean that it will be necessary to position the radars some distance outside the windfarm as shown in the example below in order to avoid turbines screening the radar and to provide the required height coverage.

Figure 10 Terma 5002 Radar at a Wind Farm in Germany

49. The one major advantage of PSR is that it will detect any aircraft, both those transponding and those that are not, known as non-co-operative targets. Depending upon how the regulatory process

moves forwards, this may have a major effect on which systems to use for ADLS. In response to a recent planning inquiry paper the CAA responded stating in a letter dated 21 April 2021: *For the UK, there are some challenges to be resolved. The cost/benefit of the use of primary surveillance radar for the active detection of aircraft, spectrum availability, incentive pricing cost and geographical separation required before frequencies can be re-used potentially makes this a less than optimal solution.*

50. The alternate system is one based upon a reliance on aircraft carried Electronic Conspicuity (EC) transponders. Currently light aircraft flying clear of regulated airspace in the UK below 10,000ft are not required to carry a transponder (one example being Secondary Surveillance Radar or SSR). Most aircraft do, but not all. The CAA has been encouraging fitment by all aircraft and hope to have a regulatory system in place within the next few years requiring all flying machines to be fitted. Unfortunately this is not a simple process. This issue has been running for at least 20 years so far, however some limited progress is now being made. In the same response to a recent planning inquiry paper the CAA stated: *'At the same time, the lack of interoperability between the wide variety of electronic conspicuity devices currently available may require careful consideration of the specification of any passive system receivers and how they are deemed compliant to be deployed and operated.* ' The letter goes on to state: '*We concur that not every situation may require ADLS to be fitted and operated; Article 222 or 223 requirements of the Air Navigation Order will remain, and the CAA may agree a specific solution under Section 7 of Article 222 and Section 11 of Article 223. However, ADLS could potentially provide an acceptable means of compliance that could provide greater certainty for developers when developing planning proposals on CAA acceptance and assist with discussions with communities during planning consultation.'* What this letter is saying is that ADSL using EC is technically feasible but that until the regulatory actions concerning the mandatory carriage of a compatible EC system have been completed and signed into law, and the coverage requirements agreed, uncertainty remains unless a planning condition to require the retrospective installation of a system is considered appropriate. The length of time that this is likely to take is difficult to estimate, however, realistically it is likely to be within a two to five year timeframe as it is a small part of a much wider airspace modernisation programme currently under way. Additionally, the CAA also issued a Guidance Notice dated 26/10/21 entitled: *'Electronic continuity specifications: enabling interoperability between airspace users'*. This announced the establishment of a task force to jointly develop electronic conspicuity specifications to enable interoperability between airspace users. It goes on to state: '*The adoption of EC specifications will not be mandated UK wide. Users of other systems can continue to benefit from the functionality that those products offer'*. This does not mean that an EC triggered ADLS system will not be feasible, but the regulatory challenges mentioned above may take longer to resolve, a position reinforced by the Scottish Government recently in relation to the Narachan Wind Farm decision where Ministers stated the following: *'The Scottish Ministers have carefully considered the option of imposing a suspensive condition to secure the installation of an ADLS, prior to construction of the proposed Development, but do not find that the evidence provided to date on the matter affords sufficient assurance that either method would be capable of being installed on the proposed Development within the next 5 years. As such, taking account of the resulting uncertainty on timescales for the deployment of the proposed Development if it were to be consented, the Scottish Ministers consider it would not be appropriate in this case to impose the suspensive condition proposed by the Reporter to mitigate the effects of the proposed Development's lighting on the SQs of the North Arran NSA.'* Clearly this decision was in Scotland but the same principle could apply equally in Wales.

51. What is clear is that when ADLS is finally mandated by the CAA, the carriage of compatible transponders is also mandated and all aircraft fitted with them, this is likely to be a realistic way of triggering an ADLS system. Such systems are passive at the wind farm and will not, therefore cause any interference. As shown in Figure 11 they require unobtrusive small aerials, approximately 1.2 metres long that are very reliable and relatively inexpensive to install and operate.

Figure 11 ADSB/SSR Passive Aerial

52. Bearing the above in mind, it might be prudent to ensure that lighting installed on the turbines is compatible with any future EC triggered ADSL system, so that when the regulatory process and aircraft equipage has been completed, it will be a relatively cheap and simple exercise to retro-fit such a system. Alternately, the ADSB/SSR aerials and system could be installed when the wind farm is constructed, ready for activation when required. Unfortunately, it is still not certain that the regulatory process and equipage issues will be completed within the lifetime of a planning consent.

53. An ADLS system may not be suitable for every location, depending upon the nature of aviation operations at night in the area around the wind farm and the activation criteria that are finally mandated by the CAA. If located close to the approach for a major airport for example, the lights might be required to be turning on and off continuously but this is very unlikely to be the case at Mynydd Ty-talwyn. The EC activated ADLS system will be able to differentiate between civil traffic and SAR/HEMS/military traffic using NVD and not therefore activate when these types of aviation operations are taking place within the activation zone for the system. The infra-red lights that these types of operations rely on will always be on at night, but of course are invisible to the naked eye and will have no effect on the visual impact of the development.

Comment

54. In recent months various briefing documents have been in circulation suggesting that visible obstruction lights are not required in the current aviation environment. The CAA in discussions with WPAC have confirmed that they do not support this position and would consider prosecuting organisations that do not follow the existing guidance and regulations. However, change will come; this will be led by the CAA and be centred on the new draft CAP 764 (as adhered to in this report) and the future development of ADLS.

55. From the direct experience of WPAC staff who have over 40 years of day/night low flying over land and sea both with and without NVD/Gs, the inclusion of adequate visible red lighting is important to cater for both routine operations and the inevitable unplanned outcome. Pilots uncertain of their location together with emergency situations and system failures of critical night low flying equipment are circumstances that require a degree of visible obstruction lighting on large wind turbines.

56. In addition, future green energy aircraft (hydrogen/electric and battery powered) will fly considerably lower and slower than current aircraft and will be significantly limited in track variation by much shorter ranges. Good visible obstruction lighting will become more, not less important in this low direct flight environment.

57. Finally, an aircraft colliding with a wind turbine is thankfully an extremely rare event but one with enormous potential consequences. A standard risk assessment as part of an aviation safety case would conclude that even a very low probability of a significant dangerous event is still unacceptable and must be mitigated, in this case by the fitting of visible obstruction lights.

Conclusion

58. This report has assessed the requirements for both visible, CAA approved aviation lighting and MOD approved Infra-Red lighting for the Mynydd Ty-talwyn Energy Park. The resulting layout is set out in Figures 4 and 6 and makes use of both CAA/ANO Red lights and MOD IR lights. The proposed layouts were sent to the CAA and MOD DIO for approval. The MOD will approve the IR lighting layout as there is no concession required and the CAA have considered the proposal and responded approving the lighting layout, their concession approval letter is attached at Appendix C to this report.

59. The report also provides the brilliance of lights that will be visible taking into account the elevation angle between the turbine hub obstruction light and the viewpoints and the distance between each turbine and each viewpoint. The report shows that for up to 88% of the time, the lights will only be required to operate at 10% luminous intensity, which will significantly reduce obstruction light effects in the area. Further interpretation of these results have been undertaken by a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment expert. and this report has informed the recommended lighting scheme in ES Volume II, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual.

60. The report then identifies additional mitigation options that, should the regulatory process allow, enable the visible medium intensity turbine lights to be switched off for the vast majority of the time and activated only on those occasions when an aircraft activates the system.

Authors

Cdr John Taylor RN (Ret) – after a career in the Royal Navy specialising in Air Traffic Control (ATC), Airspace Management and Air Defence which culminated in leading both the ATC and Fighter Control Specialisations, John worked for Lockheed Martin UK for three years as a Principal Consultant and Business Area Manager responsible for Air Traffic Management Consultancy, including the provision of advice to wind farm developers. In 2008 he founded WPAC Ltd and since then he and his team have provided aviation advice in relation to over 2000 wind farm and wind turbine sites, given evidence at a number of planning inquiries and enabled many sites to overcome aviation objections where it was feasible to do so. He and his team have also provided advice to a number of Local Planning Authorities, Renewable UK and the Aviation Fund Management Board, including organising workshops and the provision of guidance documents. John also advises planners and developers in relation to physical and technical safeguarding of non-wind farm developments in the vicinity of aviation facilities.

Sqn Ldr Mike Hale RAF (Rtd) has over 45 years, piloting, instructing and examining experience on numerous military fast jet aircraft through to a range of civilian and military general aviation training aircraft and gliders. He has held many posts including Flying Instructor, Training Officer, Flight Commander, Squadron Commander and Principal Tornado AD Force Examiner. He has amassed over 10,000 flying hours of experience when operating at many locations around the world. In parallel to his flying duties, Mike held the post of Officer Commanding the MOD Low Flying Operations Squadron (OC LFOS). In this post he was both Low Level Airspace Manager for the MOD & Wind-Farm Subject Matter Expert for the Defence Infrastructure Organization (DIO). During that period, he assessed over 14,000 wind-farm pre-applications and 2000 full applications against low flying, weapons range, specialist airspace, local community and aerodrome safeguarding criteria. Mike also instigated two Qinetiq ground based Infra Red obstruction lighting trials. These were followed by instigating and managing the MOD Infra Red/Low Intensity (Henlow) flight trials and the CAA/MOD/Trinity-House/RUK off-shore IR/Morse (North Hoyle) flight trials. In conjunction, Mike organised numerous and various supporting trials including night vision equipment compatibility and detailed lighting beam overspill analysis (where light is emitted outside the required specification envelope). In 2012, he was awarded an MBE for generating a proactive and mutually successful working relationship between the Wind Power Industry and the MOD Air Staff.

John Taylor

Cdr John Taylor RN (Ret)
Managing Director
Wind Power Aviation Consultants Ltd
www.wpac.co.uk

Mike Hale

Sqn Ldr Mike Hale MBE MSc CFS RAF (Retd)
Aviation Consultant for WPAC Ltd

Appendix A Lighting Results Tables

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux$^{10-6}$) at 10%	Obscured
1	6.87	-1.5	642	64	13.6	1.4	X
5	5.75	-1.39	706	71	21.4	2.1	X
6	4.6	-4.27	117	12	5.5	0.6	

Viewpoint 1 Margam Park (Access Road)

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux$^{10-6}$) at 10%	Obscured
1	5.59	-0.85	1314	131	42.1	4.2	X
5	4.38	-1.18	858	86	44.6	4.5	X
6	3.29	-3.3	176	18	16.2	1.6	M

Viewpoint 2 On PRoW, Margam Park Deer Park / Ogwr Ridgeway Long Distance Walk

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux$^{10-6}$) at 10%	Obscured
1	3.72	-0.27	1867	187	134.9	13.5	
5	2.23	-0.81	1314	131	263.8	26.4	
6	1.79	-2.17	350	35	109.1	10.9	

Viewpoint 3 Ogwr Ridgeway Walk

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux$^{10-6}$) at 10%	Obscured
1	2.94	-2.2	350	35	40.6	4.1	
5	1.86	-3.91	136	14	39.4	3.9	
6	2.83	-3.3	176	18	21.9	2.2	

Viewpoint 4 Llangynwyd Conservation Area

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux$^{10-6}$) at 10%	Obscured
1	4.75	-1.59	584	58	25.9	2.6	
5	3.45	-2.42	304	30	25.6	2.6	
6	4.02	-2.6	262	26	16.3	1.6	

Viewpoint 5 Heol Gelli Lenor, Maesteg

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux$^{10-6}$) at 10%	Obscured
1	3.2	-2.95	206	21	20.1	2.0	
5	4.2	-2.45	284	28	16.1	1.6	
6	5.34	-2.32	324	32	11.4	1.1	

Viewpoint 6 Heol Dewi Sant, Bettws

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux$^{10-6}$) at 10%	Obscured
1	2.22	-4.84	100	10	20.3	2.0	X
5	3.69	-2.68	245	24	18.0	1.8	X
6	4.49	-2.45	284	28	14.1	1.4	X

Viewpoint 7 Footpath East of Coytrahen House

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux$^{10-6}$) at 10%	Obscured
1	5.31	-2.25	324	32	11.5	1.2	
5	6.83	-1.88	448	45	9.6	1.0	
6	7.35	-2.03	409	41	7.6	0.8	

Viewpoint 8 Bridgend Circular Walk

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux$^{10-6}$) at 10%	Obscured
1	3.77	-2.96	206	21	14.5	1.5	
5	4.25	-2.82	232	23	12.9	1.3	
6	3.54	-3.97	133	13	10.6	1.1	

Viewpoint 9 Heol Shon, Cefn Cribwr

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux$^{10-6}$) at 10%	Obscured
1	8.72	-2	409	41	5.4	0.5	
5	8.34	-2.18	350	35	5.0	0.5	
6	7.11	-2.85	232	23	4.6	0.5	

Viewpoint 10 Kenfig Burrows

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux$^{10-6}$) at 10%	Obscured
1	10.54	-1.61	584	58	5.3	0.5	X
5	11.41	-1.57	584	58	4.5	0.5	X
6	10.79	-1.94	448	45	3.8	0.4	

Viewpoint 11 Wales Coast Path at Ogmore-by-Sea

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux$^{10-6}$) at 10%	Obscured
1	7.49	-0.48	1697	170	30.3	3.0	
5	8.79	-0.51	1697	170	22.0	2.2	
6	9.78	-0.68	1451	145	15.2	1.5	

Viewpoint 12 Ogwr Ridgeway Walk at Waun Wen

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux$^{10-6}$) at 10%	Obscured
1	6.02	0.35	2132	213	58.9	5.9	
5	6.67	0.19	2093	209	47.0	4.7	
6	7.92	-0.11	1984	198	31.6	3.2	

Viewpoint 13 Pen y Foel Trig Point

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux$^{10-6}$) at 10%	Obscured
1	24.23	-0.75	1314	131	2.2	0.2	
5	22.96	-0.27	1867	187	3.5	0.4	X
6	21.93	-0.95	1181	118	2.5	0.3	

Viewpoint 14 Mumbles Hill, Swansea

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux$^{10-6}$) at 10%	Obscured
1	29.94	0.85	2092	209	2.3	0.2	X
5	29.09	0.52	2142	214	2.5	0.3	X
6	29.87	0.46	2142	214	2.4	0.2	X

Viewpoint 15 Ogof-Ffynnon-Ddu (BBNP)

Appendix B – Abbreviations and Definitions

ADSB……………………………………..………Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast
AGL...Above Ground Level (Height)
ANO...Air Navigation Order
AMSL...Above Mean Sea Level (Elevation)
ASG...Aviation Steering Group
CAA...Civil Aviation Authority
CAP...Civil Aviation Publication (Referrers to Specific Documents)
cd..Candela, a measure of light intensity
DIO..Defence Infrastructure Organisation
HNTA..Helicopter Night Training Area
In Flight Visibility....................The distance a pilot can see ahead to fly & navigate the aircraft
IR..Infra-Red
Kts...Knots: a measure of airspeed (10 kts = 12mph = 19 kph)
LED...Light Emitting Diode
MOD...Ministry of Defence
mW/sr..............milliWatts per steradian: electromagnetic energy output related to solid angle
Nm..Nautical Mile
NVD ....Night Vision Devices - Aircraft Mounted
NVG..Night Vision Goggles - Operator Worn
Radar Altimeter........An altimeter that uses radar to accurately measure height above ground
QFE ....Setting on Altimeter that gives Height above Airfield
RoAR...Rules of the Air Regulations
Rule 5...The Low Flying Rule – part of RoAR
Rule 28...VFR Rules Outside Controlled Airspace – part of the RoAR
ReUK..Renewables UK – The UK Wind Industry Body
SAR Box..Night Training Area for Search and Rescue Helicopter Units
SSA...Sector Safety Altitude
SSR………………………………………………………………Secondary Surveillance Radar
UKAB...............................United Kingdom Air Prox Board – Investigates Aircraft Near Misses
VFR....................................Visual Flight Rules (Flight without ATC on a see-and-be-seen basis)
VMC..................................Visual Meteorological Conditions (Weather suitable for VFR flight)

Hazards, 38 Hadrian Way, Chilworth, Southampton SO16 7HX

Appendix C CAA Response

OFFICIAL - Named Parties Only. This information is intended for Wind Power Aviaton Consultants Ltd. only

Strategy and Policy Group
Windfarm Policy

Civil Aviation Authority

Mike Hale
Aviation Consultant
Wind Power Aviation Consultants Ltd.
38 Hadrian Way
Chilworth
Southampton
SO16 7HX

10 February 2025
Ref Windfarms / Mynydd Ty-talwyn

Dear Mike,

Proposed Obstacle Lighting Scheme for Mynydd Ty-talwyn Wind Farm

Reference: Mynydd Ty-talwyn Wind Farm Lighting report dated 31 January 2025

1. Thank you for the lighting brief at reference. The report discusses the proposed obstacle lighting plan for the Mynydd Ty-talwyn Wind Farm, located in Bridgend, approximately 10km to the southeast of Port Talbot.

2. The proposed Mynydd Ty-talwyn Wind Farm consists of 6 turbines, with heights 200m ground to tip. This brings the turbines within scope of the Air Navigation Order (ANO) Article 222 obstacle lighting requirements.

3. We have considered the report carefully and take note of the intent to address concerns relating to adverse visual impacts of aviation lighting on non-aviation receptors while ensuring that the lighting installed on the turbines meets air safety requirements.

4. We note the proposed lighting scheme identifies the perimeter outline and that some mitigation is proposed to be provided by the provision of infra-red lighting for those operators who carry Night Vision Device capability.

5. Under provisions given in the Air Navigation Order (ANO) Article 222 section 6, the CAA provides for the following variation:

- medium intensity steady red (2000 candela) lights on the nacelles of turbines T01, T05, and T06;
- a second 2000 candela light on the nacelles of the above turbines to act as alternates in the event of a failure of the main light (note that both lights should not be lit at the same time);

Civil Aviation Authority
2E Aviation House Beehive Ring Road Crawley West Sussex RH6 0YR www.caa.co.uk
Telephone 0330 138 3166 andy.wells@caa.co.uk

OFFICIAL - Named Parties Only

• the lights on these turbines to be capable of being dimmed to 10% of peak intensity when the lowest visibility as measured at suitable points around the wind farm by visibility measuring devices exceeds 5km;

• infra-red lights to MoD specification installed on the nacelles of turbines T01, T02, T03, T04, T05 and T06 (note that dimming permission is applicable only to visible lights, not infra-red lighting).

6. Intermediate level 32 candela lights are not required to be fitted on the turbine towers.

7. If the proposed design of the wind farm changes (other than variations due to micrositing etc.) this is likely to require a revision to this aviation obstacle lighting variation.

Yours sincerely,

AWells

Andy Wells
Manager Aviation and Wind Turbine Policy

Appendix D CEL-WT-MIC Specification

CEL AVIATION LIGHTING

DATASHEET

05 Dec 2024

Medium Intensity Red 2,000cd Light

230VAC - LED Aircraft Warning Light

CEL-WT-MIC - StandAlone / Modbus

Medium intensity stand-alone 2,000cd red model is designed for Wind Turbine Installations. This intelligent light offers unique features such as incorporated fault monitoring, photocell, GPS synchronisation, adjustable luminosity and supports both stand-alone and Modbus operation as a part of a larger CEL aviation light system network.

Key features
- 2,000cd (effective) RED fixed or flashing modes
- Extremely reliable - long lifetime
- Supports both stand-alone and Modbus operations
- Suitable for Ofsh ore environment
- Incorporated GPS synchronisation
- Adjustable luminous output levels 10%, 30%, and 100%
- Incorporated photocell and fault monitoring
- WIFI interface
- Design lifetime more than 20 years
- 5yr warranty- the longest in the industry

Specifications met
- ICAO International Standards and Recommended Practices: Aerodromes Annex 14 Volume 1, 8th Edition, July 2018,
- Chapter 6: Medium-intensity, Type B/C Fixed Obstacle Light
- European Aviation Safety Agency - Chapter Q – Visual Aids for Denoting Obstacles CS-ADR-DSN.Q.848
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/534543F 09/12/06: L-864 and L-885
- CEL-WT-MIC - light measurement chart table - DEC2024

Optical characteristics
- 2,000cd (effective)
- Colour aviation RED
- NVG compliant infrared (850nm)
- Horizontal beam 360°
- Vertical beam 3°
- Maximum intensity at -1° is less than 1,110cd
- Maximum intensity at -10° is less than 56cd

Electrical characteristics
- Operating voltage 90-265VAC
- Constant power input at 95% by active PFC
- Flash rates: 20/30/40/60fpm
- Meets standards
  EMC (Emissions): EN 61000-6-4
  EMC (Immunity): EN 61000-6-2

Electrical characteristics - continued
- Power consumption
  17W @Night (RED, 40fpm)
  20W @Night (RED+IR, 40fpm)
  25W @Night (RED, fixed)
  30W @Night (RED+IR, fixed)
- Recommended cables (Outdoor):
  Power (L-N-PE): 3x1,5mm"or 3x2,5mm"
  Data: CAT 7
  Alarm: CAT 7, 3x1,5mm or 3x2,5mm"
  Power + Data: 6x1,5mm"or 6x2,5mm"
- Input/Output terminals can be used to daisy chain power and data to additional lightheads

Mechanical characteristics
Painted marine grade aluminium body (C5MHigh)
Glass cover
Degree of protection IP66
Operating temperature range -40...+55°C
Mounting 240x240mm
Height 333mm, diameter 270mm
Weight 11kg

Additional Factory-Installed Options
Cold-climate version (CCV)
NVG compliant infrared (IR)

OPERATING VOLTAGE 230 VAC
CAUTION / LED RADIATION

EASA
Wifi

Contarnex Europe Limited
252 Martin Way
Morden, Surrey SM4 4AW
United Kingdom
T: +44 (0)20 8395 6006
E: info@contarnex.com
www.contarnex.com
www.aircraftwarninglights.co.uk

Page 1 of 2

Technical information in this document is subject to change without notice. Copyright© CEL 2024 Dec 2024

www.contarnex.com

Medium Intensity Red 2,000cd Light

230VAC - LED Aircraft Warning Light

CEL-WT-MIC - StandAlone / Modbus

CEL-WT-MIC
Light unit with mounting dimensions



CEL-WT Versions

CEL-WT-MIB	Medium-intensity Type B (flashing) obstruction light, 2,000cd Red, GPS sync, Photocell, Alarm, 100-240VAC Supports stand-alone and Modbus Network operation.
CEL-WT-MIC	Medium-intensity Type C (steady burn) obstruction light, 2,000cd Red, infrared, GPS sync, Photocell, Alarm, 100-240VAC. Supports stand-alone and Modbus Network operation.
CEL-WT-MIB-IR	Medium-intensity Type B (flashing) obstruction light, 2,000cd Red, Infrared 850nm, GPS sync, Photocell, Alarm, 100-240VAC. Supports stand-alone and Modbus Network operation.
CEL-WT-MIC-IR	Medium-intensity Type C (steady burn) obstruction light, 2,000cd Red, Infrared 850nm, GPS sync, Photocell, Alarm, 100-240VAC. Supports stand-alone and Modbus Network operation.

Contarnex Europe Limited. 252 Martin Way, Morden, Surrey SM4 4AW, UK
T: +44 (0)20 8395 6006 E: info@contarnex.com - W: contarnex.com - W: aircraftwarninglights.co.uk